

East London Joint Waste Plan

Assessment of Safeguarded Waste Sites for Release

Version Description: Consultation Draft

Version: 2.0

Issued: July 2024



BPP Consulting Document Control

Project: East London Joint Waste Plan

Report: Release of Safeguarded Waste Sites

Version Description: Consultation Draft

Version No.: 2.0

Date: 25.07.2024

Version No.	Version Description	Author	Date	Reviewed	Date
1.0	Client Review	Alan Potter (Partner)	09.07.2024	Ian Blake (Partner)	09.07.2024
2.1	Consultation Draft	Alan Potter (Partner)	25.07.2024	Alan Potter (Partner)	25.07.2024

While due care and diligence has been exercised in the preparation and production of this report, BPP Consulting LLP and its subcontractors exclude to the fullest extent lawfully permitted, all liability for any loss or damage however arising from reliance on its contents.

Document Control

Version No.	Version Description	Date	Changes

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction and Context	2
London Plan Policy and Supporting Text	2
Existing Waste Sites to be Released	4
Updated Capacity Assessment	5
Unmet Needs of Other London Boroughs	6
Appendix 1: Sites To Be Released Through ELJWP	8
Appendix 2: Sites Nominated For Future Release Included In	ELJWP 17

List of Tables

Table 1: Combined apportionment for East London boroughs compared to Estimated
Apportionment Capacity in East London (MBT Sensitivity) minus EWS capacity5
Table 2: Forecast non-hazardous C, D & E waste arisings for East London compared to Estimated non-hazardous C, D & E waste Management Capacity in East London minus EWS
Table 3: Comparison between combined East London Borough London Plan 2021 Forecasts of HIC waste arisings (LP Table 9.1) and Apportionments (LP Table 9.2)
waste arisings (Er. Table 9.1) and Apportionnellts (Er. Table 9.2)



Executive Summary

The four East London Boroughs are preparing a new ELJWP. This involves assessing current capacity against forecast arisings of different wastes, and the identification of possible capacity gaps.

Where capacity gaps are identified the London Plan expects that development plans should:

- allocate sufficient sites,
- identify suitable areas, and
- identify waste management facilities

to at least provide the capacity to manage the apportioned tonnages of waste

The London Plan confirms that the potential release of existing waste sites from safeguarding protection, should form part of the development plan process (rather than ad hoc via applications).

The Regulation 18 Draft ELJWP proposes the release of specific existing waste sites identified by each Borough, on the basis that their continued use for waste may conflict with wider planning & regeneration objectives. This paper sets out the process by which these sites were assessed demonstrating that their release from safeguarding is justified.

The assessment has been conducted in the context of an existing and projected 'surplus' of existing waste management capacity in the Plan area, above that required to meet apportionments for HIC waste in the London Plan and to manage forecast arisings of C,D & E waste, over the plan period.

The proposed release of the existing waste sites included in the new ELJWP is consistent with advice in the London Plan, that existing safeguarded sites ought to be released as "part of a plan-led process rather than done on an ad hoc basis" (Para 9.9.2).



Introduction and Context

- 1. Waste sites subject to the safeguarding provisions of the London Plan are defined in the London Plan as "land with planning permission for a waste use or a permit from the Environment Agency for a waste use" (Para 9.9.1). Hence they include sites identified in Schedule 1 of the adopted ELWP that still have valid planning consent and/or waste specific environmental permits, plus any sites with planning permission and/or environmental permits granted since Schedule 1 was drawn up and the ELWP was adopted. Hereinafter these are referred to as "existing waste sites" (EWS).
- 2. The process of producing the ELJWP has involved consideration of the release of sites/land which currently enjoy policy protection for waste management use as follows:
 - i) Certain safeguarded existing waste sites (Schedule 1 of the ELWP plus other existing waste sites)
 - ii) Sites/land in locations identified as suitable for strategic waste management facilities (Schedule 2 of the adopted ELWP)

London Plan Policy and Supporting Text

- 3. Prior to considering release of existing waste sites, it is noted that the London Plan (2021) requires:
 - a. existing waste sites to be safeguarded (Policy SI 8 (A.2), and SI 9); and
 - b. development plans to allocate sufficient sites and identify areas and facilities to manage tonnages of Local Authority, Commercial & Industrial waste (hereinafter referred to as HIC waste) apportioned via the London Plan (SI8 (B.3&4)).
- 4. Policy SI 9 (C) of the London Plan (2021) requires that, if an existing waste site is to be redeveloped for another use, appropriate compensatory capacity be identified within London that is at, or above, the same level on the hierarchy and at least meet, and (ideally) exceed, the maximum achievable throughput of the site to be lost (SI 9 C).
- 5. London Plan para 9.9.2 elaborates on Policy SI 9 stating:

Any proposed release of current waste sites or those identified for future waste management capacity should be part of a plan-led process, rather than done on an adhoc basis. Waste sites should only be released to other land uses where waste processing capacity is re-provided elsewhere within London, based on the maximum achievable throughput of the site proposed to be lost. (emphasis added)



- 6. It goes on to state that for the purposes of assessing capacity to be replaced:

 When assessing the throughput of a site, the maximum throughput achieved over the last five years should be used; where this is not available potential capacity of the site should be appropriately assessed.
- 7. Paragraph 9.9.2 appears to conflate two separate issues: The first sentence applies to release of an existing waste site through the development plan production process, including the emerging ELJWP. The subsequent sentence relates to the requirements on applications for change of use that may be executed through site specific development management decisions.
- 8. Paragraph 9.9.3 is also directly applicable:

 Policy SI 8 Waste capacity and net waste self-sufficiency promotes capacity increases
 at waste sites where appropriate to maximise their use. If such increases are
 implemented over the Plan period, it may be possible to justify the release of waste
 sites if it can be demonstrated that there is sufficient capacity available elsewhere in
 London at appropriate sites over the Plan period to meet apportionment and that the
 target of achieving net self-sufficiency is not compromised. In such cases, sites could be
 released for other land uses. (emphasis added)
- 9. It therefore follows that not only intensification at existing waste sites (through optimisation as promoted by Policy SI 8A.3), but capacity gained through additional permissions and/or permits granted since Schedule 1 was compiled, ought also to be counted towards the compensatory capacity required to allow release of certain EWS. Ultimately, whether release can occur also depends on whether it can be demonstrated that apportionments will still be met and net self-sufficiency for London as a whole is not compromised.
- 10. The proposed release of the existing waste sites included in the new ELJWP is consistent with advice in the London Plan, that existing safeguarded sites ought to be released as "part of a plan-led process rather than done on an ad hoc basis" (Para 9.9.2).
- 11. The GLA has been invited to confirm agreement to the approach set out in this report.



Existing Waste Sites Proposed for Release

- 12. The identification of existing waste sites for release from safeguarding has been undertaken by consistent application of specific selection criteria. The rationale for the proposed release of each EWS is set out in the site profiles included in Appendix 1.
- 13. Tension with existing Local Plan policy has been identified as the key criterion to be used when considering the potential release from safeguarding of certain existing waste sites. Such tensions primarily arise when an existing waste site is located on land identified/allocated in a local plan for a non-waste use and a non-waste use developed in that location may be incompatible with the continued waste use.
- 14. The London Plan states the following in connection with such tensions

Policy SI 9 Safequarded waste sites

. . .

B Waste facilities located in areas identified for non-waste related development should be integrated with other uses as a first principle where they deliver clear local benefits.

The above text is taken as relating to circumstances where land on which existing waste sites are located is allocated for non-waste development. While integration of the waste use is to be taken as the starting position, i.e. first principle, it only applies where the waste use delivers "clear local benefits". Hence where such uses do not deliver benefits that are specific to the locality then integration is not an expectation. Similarly, even where there may be some benefits to the locality, if these are outweighed by disbenefits, then the requirement for the benefits to be "clear" may not be met.

- 15. Other supplementary information, as listed below, which helps to characterise the sites proposed for release has been gathered as far as possible. Further input from parties with an interest in each site is invited through the Regulation 18 consultation on the draft ELJWP:
 - a. **Operational capacity:** Whether the site is operational or not, and if so has low and declining throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirements.
 - b. **Owner intentions/deliverability:** Whether the owner is seeking release of the Site;
 - c. **Environmental Permit:** Whether a site has an extant Environmental Permit (if it does it will remain classified as an existing waste site applying the London Plan definition, however release by an adopted Local Plan would mean the site is no longer safeguarded).

4|Page

Project: East London Joint Waste Plan

Document: Assessment of Safequarded Waste Sites for Release



16. As shown in Appendix 1, seven existing waste sites are proposed to be released through the new ELJWP. In addition, a further six sites have been identified by the Boroughs as having potential for release in future. These are listed in Appendix 2 of this report along with the initial reasons.

Updated Capacity Assessment

- 17. The updated Capacity Assessment produced by BPP Consulting in 2024¹ forms part of the evidence base for the Regulation 18 ELJWP. The update identifies substantial 'surpluses' in capacity (i.e. capacity in excess of the East London Borough combined HIC waste apportionments identified in the London Plan (LP) and construction, demolition & excavation (C,D & E) waste management needs) as follows:
 - HIC waste (to which LP apportionments relate): between 1.1 million tpa in 2021
 falling to between 1.0 million tpa and 0.6 million tpa (worst case scenario) in 2041
 (decline in surplus due to rising apportionments);
 - Construction, Demolition & Excavation waste: **1.6 million tpa in 2021 continuing to 2041** (surplus static as forecast does not predict increase in arisings).
- 18. When the quantum of capacity provided by the existing waste sites proposed to be released is compared with the identified capacity surplus, a surplus is shown to remain and therefore there is no apparent need to identify land for allocation and/or specific sites for intensification. This is shown in Tables 1 & 2 below:

Table 1: Combined apportionment for East London boroughs compared to Estimated Apportionment Capacity in East London (MBT Sensitivity) minus EWS capacity

appointment capacity in East Estado (in El Scholarty) initias Estada				
	2021	2041		
Apportionment Forecast	1,409,000	1,497,000		
Capacity minus MBT post 2027	2,610,500	2,173,000		
Difference	+1,201,000	+676,000		
Minus Release of Selected EWS	S -49,360			
Remaining 'Surplus'	+1,151,640	626,640		

5 | Page

Project: East London Joint Waste Plan

Document: Assessment of Safequarded Waste Sites for Release

¹ ELJWP East London Capacity Assessment, BPP Consulting July 2024



Table 2: Forecast non-hazardous C, D & E waste arisings for East London compared to Estimated non-hazardous C, D & E waste Management Capacity in East London minus EWS

	2026	2031	2036	2041
Forecast Arisings	2,123,218	2,123,218	2,123,218	2,123,218
Capacity	3,789,831	3,789,831	3,789,831	3,789,831
Difference	+1,666,613	+1,666,613	+1,666,613	+1,666,613
Minus Release of Selected EWS		368	,669	
Remaining 'Surplus'	+1,297,944	+1,297,944	+1,297,944	+1,297,944

19. The findings of the BPP Consulting 2024 waste management capacity update therefore support the previous review recommendation that the locations identified in Schedule 2 of the adopted ELWP (allocations) are not required and so do not need to be considered for 'reallocation' in the new ELJWP. In addition, the findings demonstrate that there is a sufficient surplus of both HIC apportioned waste qualifying management capacity and Construction, Demolition & Excavation waste management capacity required to meet the London Plan management targets, which allows release of some existing waste sites from safeguarding protection.

Unmet Needs of Other London Boroughs

As shown in Tables 1 and 2 above, the 2024 review of East London waste capacity indicates that even following release of the identified existing waste sites there is still likely to be a capacity 'surplus' over the Plan period.

20. The London Plan states:

'Boroughs with a surplus of waste sites should offer to share these sites with those boroughs facing a shortfall in capacity before considering site release.' (para 9.8.6) and,

'It may not always be possible for boroughs to meet their apportionment within their boundaries and in such circumstances boroughs will need to agree the transfer of apportioned waste.' (para 9.8.7)

21. The London Plan apportionments already take into account the ability of individual boroughs to manage quantities of HIC waste equivalent to that predicted to arise in their own areas. The apportionments reflect a range of factors, and in the case of the East London Boroughs some 'unmet need' identified as arising from outside of the ELJWP area has already been factored into the determination of their apportionments. This is evidenced by the fact that the combined East London apportionments exceed the London Plan forecast of HIC waste arisings for East London by an additional c60% (see Table 3 below).

6|Page

Project: East London Joint Waste Plan

Document: Assessment of Safequarded Waste Sites for Release



Table 3: Comparison between combined East London Borough London Plan 2021 Forecasts of HIC waste arisings (LP Table 9.1) and Apportionments (LP Table 9.2)

	2021	2041
LP forecast HIC waste arisings (tonnes)	883,000	955,000
LP HIC waste apportionments (tonnes)	1,409,000	1,497,000
Difference (tonnes)	526,000	542,000
Additional % difference represents on forecast	59%	57%

22. Some London boroughs with a deficit of capacity may seek to rely on surplus capacity in East London when planning for the management of the HIC waste apportioned to them by the London Plan. The extent to which other Boroughs may rely on East London to meet their apportionments is currently unknown. The neighbouring borough of Tower Hamlets has approached the East London Boroughs regarding a possible capacity deficit in its area and it, along with any other affected London Borough, is specifically invited to comment on this matter when responding to the Regulation 18 draft ELJWP. In any event, if the ELJWP is to plan on the basis of meeting other areas' requirements (as well as its own), then, for the Plan to be sound, this must be based on robust evidence.



Appendix 1: Sites Proposed To Be Released Through ELJWP



Borough	Site Name	Purpose of	Asse	ssed Capa	city	Planning Status	Permit
		Release/ Proposed Use	Apportioned Waste	CDEW	Hazardous		Status
Barking & Dagenham	Gallions Close (Edwards Recycling)	Thames Road Site Allocation	506	0	0	Permanent Permission for recycling, by sorting and baling, of cardboard, newspapers, and cartons	No permit - operates under exemption
	17-19 Thames Road (Max Recycling)		0	0	0	Permanent Permission for Waste processing and recycling facility	Revoked 2019
	Eurohub Box Lane, Renwick Road (D B Cargo)		0	313,538	0	Permitted development Part 8 Class A	Permit issued 17/07/2018
	Eurohub Box Lane, Renwick Road (Titan Waste)	Castle Green	15,997	20,173	0	Existing lawful use for rail/road transfer for set down and storage as part of the rail freight activity.	Permit issued 28/05/2019
	Renwick Road Rail Hub (Biffa Waste Services)		0	0	0	Existing lawful use for rail/road transfer for set down and storage as part of the rail freight activity.	Permit issued 02/07/2020
Newham	12 Barbers Road (Regional Waste Recycling)	Pudding Mill Site Allocation	32,857	0	0	Waste separation & recycling centre	Permit issued 27/04/2017
	Connolleys Yard, Unit 5c Thames Road (Connolleys Metals)	Connaught Riverside Strategic Site	0	34,958	0	Use for the melting of scrap aluminium and the grading and recycling of other non-ferrous metals.	Permit issued 07/03/2018
Totals			49,360	368,669	0		

Project: East London Joint Waste Plan

Document: Assessment of Safeguarded Waste Sites for Release



Site Name	Edwards Recycl	ing Ltd	Site Ref:	B&D001	
Borough	Barking & Dagenham				
Site Area (hectares)	0.422				
OS Grid Reference		TQ464	40082747		
Site Address	Gallio	ns Close,	Barking, IG1	1 0JD	
Site Location/Setting	Str	ategic Ind	ustrial Location	on,	
Planning Status	newspapers	s, and cart	and baling, of cons (DC/03/0 I for canopy J	0375/FUL).	
Status in Adopted Local Plan	3	Pr	oposals Map	Council's 2012	
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	De-designated from SIL for residential uses as LSIS and allocated for residential and mixed use development within the draft Local Plan (site allocation CI – Thames Road)				
Status in ELWP	Not Listed				
Current Permitted Use			Transfer		
Waste Type Inputs	Hhold/Ind/Com				
	Apportionment	С	DEW	Other	
5 year peak (tpa)	506		0	0	
Historic peak (if different)			0,000		
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)			n/a		
Jı	ustification for Rel	ease			
Policy tension:	Redesignating as residential.	LSIS (fron	n SIL) and all	ocated for	
Suj	oplementary Inform	nation			
Operational capacity: The Site is not operational or hallow and declining throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirement			Not applicable		
Owner intentions/viability: The owner is seeking release of the Site from safeguarding; Site acquired by LBBD.				ed by LBBD.	
Environmental Permit: Wheth environmental permit or not and if so pre 2012 (when p		ıed		perating under xemption	



			was	te planning professionals		
Site Name	Max Recycling	9	Site Ref:	B&D002		
Borough	В	Barking & Dagenham				
Site Area (hectares)	0.292					
OS Grid Reference		TQ4591	982837			
Site Address	17-19 Tha	mes Road	d, Barking IG1	1 0HS		
Site Location/Setting	Strat	egic Indu	strial Location	1		
Planning Status			ecycling facili 60/A/14/22176	ty (on Appeal Ref 336)		
Status in Adopted Local Plan	Designated as SIL w	ithin the (Council's 2012	2 Proposals Map		
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Redesignated as LSIS and mixed use devel alloca	opment v ition CI –	vithin the draft Thames Road	: Local Plan (site		
Status in ELWP		Not L				
Current Permitted Use	Ce		perate 2019			
Waste Type Inputs		CD		I		
	Apportionment	C	DEW	Other		
5 year peak (tpa)	0		0	0		
Historic peak (if different)		8,410				
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)		n,	/a			
	Justification for Rel	ease				
Policy tension:	Redesignating as LSIS	(from SI	L) and allocat	ed for residential.		
	Supplementary Inform	mation				
low and declining throughput inc	acity: The Site is not operational or has throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirements					
Owner intentions/viability: The of the of the of the of the other parts of the other parts.	The owner is seeking release f the Site from safeguarding; Application submitted for 2360sqm industrial floorspace co-located with 249 residential units (22/01701/FULL)					
Environmental Permit: W environmental permit or not and pre 2012 (wh		ued No	- Permit revok 20	ked by EA March 19		



Site Name	Barking Eurohub (DB	Cargo)	Site Ref:	B&D003	
Borough	Barking & Dagenham				
Site Area (hectares)	2.489				
OS Grid Reference		TQ474	9083218		
Site Address	Box Lane, Re	enwick R	oad, Barking I	G11 0SQ	
Site Location/Setting	Strategic Industrial London		n 'Freight at Re e Opportunity <i>i</i>		
Planning Status	Permi	tted dev	elopment Part	8 Class A	
Status in Local Plan	Designated as SIL w	ithin the	Council's 2012	2 Proposals Map	
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Allocated for mixed use commercial and residential development within the draft Local Plan (site allocation CF – Castle Green), with opportunities for intensification of existing rail freight sites.				
Status in ELWP	Not listed				
Current Permitted Use			Transfer		
Waste Type Inputs		CI	DEW	,	
	Apportionment	(CDEW	Other	
5 year peak (tpa)	0	3	313,538	0	
Historic peak (if different)					
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)		750	0,000		
	Justification for Rel	ease			
Policy tension:	Possible conflict with B&D vision for development of rail freight hub set out in Draft Local Plan regulation 19. Masterplan SPD for Castle Green to be brought forward.				
	Supplementary Inform	mation			
Operational capacity: The Sillow and declining throughput included low contributions.					
Owner intentions/viability: The of a	ne owner is seeking release the Site from safeguarding; Landowner exploring opportunities for intensification of rail freight uses				
Environmental Permit: W environmental permit or not and pre 2012 (wh		ıed	Permit issued	17/07/2018	



			was	te planning professionals	
Site Name	Barking Eurohub (Titan	Waste)	Site Ref:	B&D004	
Borough	Barking & Dagenham				
Site Area (hectares)	0.7				
OS Grid Reference		TQ4	791183180		
Site Address	The Annex of Shed A I	Box Lane		oad, Barking IG11	
Site Location/Setting	Strategic Industrial I London F		Freight at Re Opportunity A		
Planning Status	Permit	ed deve	lopment Part	8 Class A	
Status in Local Plan	Designated as SIL w	thin the	Council's 201	2 Proposal Map	
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Allocated for mixed use commercial and residential development within the draft Local Plan (site allocation CF – Castle Green), with opportunities for intensification of existing rail freight sites.				
Status in ELWP	Not listed				
Current Permitted Use		Waste 1	ransfer		
Waste Type Inputs		CD	EW		
	Apportionment	С	DEW	Other	
5 year peak (tpa)	15,997	2	0,173	0	
Historic peak (if different)		36,	170		
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)		75,0	000		
	Justification for Rele	ase			
Policy tension:	Possible conflict with Bound hub set out in Draft Loc for Castle Green to be be	al Plan r	egulation 19.	9	
	Supplementary Inform	ation			
Operational capacity: The State Iow and declining throughput included low contributions.					
Owner intentions/viability: Th	The owner is seeking release for intensification of rail freight uses				
Environmental Permit: W environmental permit or not and pre 2012 (wh		∍d	Permit issued	d 28/05/2019	



	waste planning professionals					
Site Name	Renwick Road Rai (Biffa Waste Serv		Site Ref:	B&D005		
Borough	В	arking & [Dagenham			
Site Area (hectares)	0.6					
OS Grid Reference	TQ4700083300					
Site Address	Renwick Road, Barking IG11 0SB					
Site Location/Setting	Strategic Industrial Location 'Freight at Renwick Road' & London Riverside Opportunity Area					
Planning Status	Permi	tted devel	opment Part	8 Class A		
Status in Local Plan	Designated as SIL within the Council's 2012 Proposal Map					
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Allocated for mixed use commercial and residential development within the draft Local Plan (site allocation CF – Castle Green), with opportunities for intensification of existing rail freight sites.					
Status in ELWP	Not listed					
Current Permitted Use	Waste Transfer					
Waste Type Inputs	CDEW					
	Apportionment	С	CDEW Other			
5 year peak (tpa)	0		0	0		
Historic peak (if different)						
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)	300,000 (transfer only)					
	Justification for Rel	ease				
Policy tension:	Possible conflict with B&D vision for development of rail freight hub set out in Draft Local Plan regulation 19. Masterplan SPD for Castle Green to be brought forward.					
Supplementary Information						
Operational capacity: The Site is not operational or has low and declining throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirements			n/a			
Owner intentions/viability: The owner is seeking release of the Site from safeguarding; Landowner exploring opposition of rail from safeguarding;						
Environmental Permit: Whether a site has an extant environmental permit or not and if so whether it was issued pre 2012 (when prior planning required)			Permit issued 02/07/2020			



Site Name	Regional Waste Rec	cycling	Site Ref:	LBN001	
Borough	Newham				
Site Area (hectares)	0.547				
OS Grid Reference	TQ3778483379				
Site Address	12 Barbers Road, Stratford, E15 2PH				
Site Location/Setting					
Planning Status	Waste separation & recycling centre (P/97/0442)				
Status in adopted Local Plan	Pudding Mill Site Allocation LDDC SA4.3				
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Draft LP allocation N8.SA9				
Status in ELWP	Not listed				
Current Permitted Use	Physico-Chemical Treatment Facility				
Waste Type Inputs	HIC				
	Apportionment	С	DEW	Other	
5 year peak (tpa)	- /		0	0	
Historic peak (if different)	107,005 (2018)				
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)					
Justification for Release					
Policy tension:	sion: Allocated for mixed use: Pudding Mill Site Allocation				
Supplementary Information					
Operational capacity: The Site is not operational or has low and declining throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirements			RWR no longer operate at site/ceased trading		
Owner intentions/viability: The owner is seeking release of the Site from safeguarding;			Bellways Legacy Wharf Phase 3 application (21/00460/FUL)		
Environmental Permit: Whether a site has an extant environmental permit or not and if so whether it was issued pre 2012 (when prior planning required)			Permit issued 27/04/2017		



	waste planning professionals			
Site Name	Connolley Metals	<u>Ltd</u>	Site Ref:	LBN002
Borough	Newham			
Site Area (hectares)		0.6	15	
OS Grid Reference		TQ 4169	6 79887	
Site Address	Connolleys Yard, Ur	nit 5c Thai	mes Road, Lo	ondon, E16 2EZ
Site Location/Setting	Strategic Site S23 (Connaught Riverside), Employment Hub E3 (Thameside East), SIL (Subject to Managed Release)			
Planning Status	Use for the melting of scrap aluminium and the grading and recycling of other non-ferrous metals. (N/93/0060)			
Status in adopted Local Plan	Allocated for mixed use including re-provision of industrial acting as a buffer between residential and neighbouring SIL.			
Proposed Status in emerging Local Plan	Draft LP allocation N2.SA3.			
Status in ELWP	Not listed			
Current Permitted Use	N	letal Recy	cling Site	
Waste Type Inputs	HIC/CDEW			
	Apportionment	С	DEW	Other
5 year peak (tpa)	0	34	4,958	0
Historic peak (if different)				
Maximum permitted capacity (tpa)	/5/11/11			
Justification for Release				
Policy tension:	Local Plan allocation S23 inc reprovision of industrial Draft LP allocation N3.SA3.			
	Supplementary Infori	nation		
Operational capacity: The Site is not operational or has low and declining throughput indicating lack of viability and low contribution to overall requirements			n/a	
Owner intentions/viability: The owner is seeking release of the Site from safeguarding;			Masterplan re-development of the wider-site for mixed use development	
Environmental Permit: Whether a site has an extant environmental permit or not and if so whether it was issued pre 2012 (when prior planning required)			Permit issued 07/03/2018	



Appendix 2: Sites Identified For Potential Future Release (Included In Appendix 3 Of Reg 18 ELJWP)



			Assessed Capacity			
Borough	Site Name	Reason	Apportioned Waste	CDEW	Hazardous	Planning Status
Barking & Dagenham	Old Bus Depot, Perry Road (Manns Waste Management)	City Market relocation	22,128	56,647	0	Permanent Permission as Materials Reclamation Facility
	Barking Waste Transfer and Recycling Facility (Biffa)	Located within Castle Green site allocation subject to Masterplan	108,712	0	0	Formation of waste transfer station (89/00279/TP)
	Alfreds Way, Barking (Creek Metals)	As above	0	27,091	0	Change of use including end of life vehicle scrapping (2013)
Havering La ()	Off Crow Lane, Romford (Crow Metals)	Potential for re-location for longer term regeneration aims of the area	25,436	245	4,320	Permanent Permission for recycling, processing, storage and distribution of scrap metal (excluding car stripping and breakages) (P0962.11)
	Land At York Road, Rainham (Kilnbridge Construction Services Ltd)	Potential for re-location for longer term regeneration aims of the area	0	44,593	0	Permanent Permission as use as Recycling and Waste Transfer Facility & Depot (P1524.00)
Redbridge	Ilford Recycling Centre (Renewi UK Services Limited)	May not be required for ELWA contract	20,000	0	0	Permanent Permission (1847/94)
Totals			176,276	128,576	4,320	